

## **Exhibit 3**

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
            FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

2           TYLER DIVISION

3   Case No. 6:07-cv-607

4   SFA SYSTEMS, LLC,

5   Plaintiff,

6   v.

7   INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS,

8   Defendant.

---

9  
          VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF: DAVID ROBERT LUNDBERG  
10                   September 25, 2008  
          (ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY)

---

11  
12           PURSUANT TO NOTICE AND SUBPOENA, the  
          videotape deposition of DAVID ROBERT LUNDBERG was  
13   taken on behalf of the Defendant at 1200 17th Street,  
          Suite 1900, Denver, Colorado 80202, on September 25,  
14   2008, at 9:12 a.m., before Sharon L. Szotak, Registered  
          Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and  
15   Notary Public within Colorado.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 Q. The next paragraph down, you can see the  
2 word "determining" is in brackets. So that was removed  
3 from the claim by this amendment, and "inferring  
4 occurrence of the event" was added in. Do you see  
5 that?

6 A. Uh-huh.

7 Q. Do you know if you were involved in the  
8 decision to make that change?

9 A. No, I don't recall.

10 Q. Do you know the significance of that  
11 change?

12 MR. EDMONDS: Objection to form.

13 A. No. I don't know what the significance of  
14 that change is, or what the purpose of it was.

15 Q. Do you think inferring occurrence of the  
16 event is different than determining?

17 MR. EDMONDS: Objection, form.

18 A. You know, I don't know what the difference  
19 in that would be.

20 Q. That's all I'm going to ask you about that  
21 document.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. Are you a named inventor on any other  
24 patents?

25 A. I don't believe so.